

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/649,259	08/28/2000	Mimi T. Mok	254/242	6780	
75	7590 07/27/2004			EXAMINER	
DAVID O'REILLY 1800 BRIDGEGATE STREET			BAHTA, KIDEST		
SUITE 200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WESTLAKE VILLAGE, CA 91361			2125		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2004	/	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/649,259	MOK ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kidest Bahta	2125
The MAILING DATE of this communicati Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	FION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a stition.  It is, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become Af	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	) .	
	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice u	allowance except for formal mat	• •
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) <u>1-44</u> is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.	
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[	☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to	by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority doct 2. Certified copies of the priority doct 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International II  * See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No I received in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-93) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 

Application/Control Number: 09/649,259

Art Unit: 2125

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Khazaka et al. (U.S. Patent 6,529,724) in view of Bromely et al. (U.S. Patent 5,819,263).

Regarding claims 1-44, Khazaka a storage device (112); a processor (108) connected to the storage device (Fig. 1); the storage devices storing a first input from the user regarding the relationship (column 2, lines 25-39); classifications for different types of relationships information for each classification (column 5, line 59-column 6, line 15); contact frequencies for each type of relationship (column 10, lines 4-29); and a program for controlling the processor (Fig. 2A; column 5, lines 15-20); and the processor operative with the program adapted to receive the first input from the user regarding the relationship (Fig. 2A); assign a contact frequency to the relationship (Fig. 2B), contact the user at the contact frequency with a first message regarding the relationship (column 10, lines 4-29; Fig. 4); the program is adapted to receive a second input from the user regarding information about the contact person and the storage device further stores the second input (column 8, line 35-column 9, line 5).

Application/Control Number: 09/649,259

Art Unit: 2125

Khazaka fails to disclose that discloses classify the relationship; and provide to the user information based on the classification of the relationship; a first message comprises gift recommendations; activity suggestion, topic of conversion, information about a vendor and prewritten correspondence.

Bromley discloses classify the relationship (column 19, line 65 - column 20, line 55); provide to the user information based on the classification of the relationship (column 18, lines 37-63); a first message comprises gift recommendations; activity suggestion, topic of conversion, information about a vendor and prewritten correspondence (column 10, lines 48-64; i.e., ...two month activity information step 298).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the teaching of Khazaka with the teaching of Bromley in order to provide proactive, efficient service to clients and maintain the client relationship.

## Conclusion

- 3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kidest Bahta, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6103. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard.

Application/Control Number: 09/649,259

Art Unit: 2125

Page 4

can be reached (703) 308-0538. Additionally, the fax phone for Art Unit 2125 is (703) 308-6306 or 308-6296. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Kidest Bahta July 22, 2004

The desired